

Karen E. Hagstrom

Partner

eFolio



Karen E. Hagstrom focuses her practice on real estate property tax assessment proceedings, real estate, land use, contracts, and civil and criminal litigation.

Karen assists owners of commercial and residential real estate to reduce their real property taxes, from initial negotiations with the Assessor, filing grievance complaints, appearing before Boards of Assessment Review, filing petitions, Article 78 motion practice, hearings, and trial. Karen also represents the Towns of Poughkeepsie, Rhinebeck, and Stanford for their tax certiorari matters.

Karen has obtained favorable court decisions for property owners involving the reinstatement or implementation of religious, charitable, and agricultural exemptions, the appropriate valuation of a nursing home, and has obtained dismissal of a petition for lack of standing on behalf of the Town of Rhinebeck.

Karen also represents clients with zoning, planning, and real estate issues and litigation; including [favorable] court decisions determining the scope and use of easements.

CONTACT

keh@cgrlaw.com
tel 845 240 7320
fax 845 240 7321

AREAS OF PRACTICE

Tax Certiorari
Land Use Development
Real Estate
Civil Litigation
Criminal Law

BAR ADMISSIONS

New York

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

Dutchess County Bar
New York State Bar
New York Women's Bar

EDUCATION

Skidmore College,
cum laude (1991);
University of San Diego
School of Law (1994)

Karen E. Hagstrom (continued)

Benefiting from her nine years of experience with the local district attorney's office, Karen handles general civil and criminal litigation matters for a variety of clients.

Karen currently serves on the Judicial Screening Committee for the Dutchess County Bar Association and is a former board member of the Mid- Hudson Civic Center and Dutchess County Women's Bar Association.

EXPERIENCE

Nine years trial experience as a prosecutor with the Dutchess County District Attorney's Office

Dissolved a Limited Liability Company and appointed a receiver to liquidate its assets, and successfully argued in the Appellate Division to declare a contract void for its failure to state essential terms.